

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,154	04/10/2001	Michael J. Sullivan	P-3724-2-F1-C2	2656
24492 7	590 12/18/2001			
MICHELLE BUGBEE, ASSOCIATE PATENT COUNSEL			EXAMINER	
SPALDING SPORTS WORLDWIDE INC 425 MEADOW STREET		INC	GORDON, RAEANN	
	PO BOX 901 CHICOPEE, MA 01021-0901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>L</b>		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			MICHAEL J. SULLIVAN		
		09/832,154 Examiner			
			Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Raeann Gorden	3711   vith the correspondence address		
Period for Reply					
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINATION DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replination period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute exply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 /	<u> April 2001</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					
S Patent and To	ademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/832,154

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,210,293. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matter is very similar. The present invention claims a golf ball comprising a core, an inner cover, and an outer cover. The inner cover has a Shore D hardness of 60 or more and is made from a blend of two or more low acid ionomer resins containing no more than 16% by weight of an alpha, beta-unsaturated carboxylic acid. The outer cover layer has a Shore D hardness of 64 or less and is made from a polyurethane or non-ionomeric thermoplastic and thermosetting elastomers. The '293 patent claims a golf ball with identical layers and features except the optional non-ionomeric thermoplastic and thermosetting elastomer materials for the

Application/Control Number: 09/832,154

Art Unit: 3711

outer cover layer. However, the present invention and the '293 patent both claim a polyurethane outer cover. Claiming additional materials for the outer layer does not render a patentable distinction. One skilled in the art would have included optional materials for the outer cover layer to increase manufacturing flexibility.

Page 3

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 703-308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell can be reached on 703-308-2126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-308-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

rg December 13, 2001 Mark S. Graham

Brimary Examiner